

# **Financial and Monetary Policy Studies**

Volume 48

## **Series Editor**

Ansgar Belke, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/5982>

Nazaré da Costa Cabral • Nuno Cunha Rodrigues  
Editors

# The Future of Pension Plans in the EU Internal Market

Coping with Trade-Offs Between Social  
Rights and Capital Markets

### *Editors*

Nazaré da Costa Cabral  
CIDEEFF - Center for European,  
Economic, Financial and Tax Law  
Research  
University of Lisbon, Faculdade de Direito  
de Lisboa, Alameda da Universidade  
Lisbon, Portugal

Nuno Cunha Rodrigues  
CIDEEFF - Center for European,  
Economic, Financial and Tax Law  
Research  
University of Lisbon, Faculdade de Direito  
de Lisboa, Alameda da Universidade  
Lisbon, Portugal

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

ISSN 0921-8580

ISSN 2197-1889 (electronic)

Financial and Monetary Policy Studies

ISBN 978-3-030-29496-0

ISBN 978-3-030-29497-7 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29497-7>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Contents

|                                                                                                                                             |           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Introduction . . . . .</b>                                                                                                               | <b>1</b>  |
| Nazaré da Costa Cabral and Nuno Cunha Rodrigues                                                                                             |           |
| 1 General Description . . . . .                                                                                                             | 1         |
| 2 On the Structure of the Book . . . . .                                                                                                    | 3         |
| <br><b>Part I Pay-as-you-go Versus Funded Pension Plans: Which Way<br/>to Better Address Common Challenges in the EU?</b>                   |           |
| <b>Old-Age Pension Systems: Characterization and Comparability . . . . .</b>                                                                | <b>11</b> |
| Miguel Coelho                                                                                                                               |           |
| 1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                    | 11        |
| 2 Contributive Old-Age Pension Systems: Aspects to Analyse . . . . .                                                                        | 14        |
| 2.1 Degree of Funding . . . . .                                                                                                             | 14        |
| 2.2 Actuarial Fairness . . . . .                                                                                                            | 21        |
| 2.3 Adjustment Methods to Financial Realizations . . . . .                                                                                  | 24        |
| 3 Comparison of Old-Age Pension Systems . . . . .                                                                                           | 26        |
| 3.1 The Unfunded Versus Funded System: An Example . . . . .                                                                                 | 26        |
| 3.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Alternative Systems . . . . .                                                                           | 30        |
| 4 Conclusion . . . . .                                                                                                                      | 33        |
| References . . . . .                                                                                                                        | 34        |
| <b>What's New in the Debate About Pay-as-you-go Versus Funded<br/>Pensions? . . . . .</b>                                                   | <b>37</b> |
| Hervé Boulhol and Marius Lüske                                                                                                              |           |
| 1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                    | 37        |
| 2 Moves from PAYGO to Funded Schemes in Recent History . . . . .                                                                            | 39        |
| 3 Economic and Financial Turbulence as well as Tight Public Finances<br>Shed New Light on the Trade-off Between PAYGO and Funding . . . . . | 40        |
| 4 Pensions as an Actuarial Zero-Sum Game in the Aggregate, Whether<br>Funded or Unfunded . . . . .                                          | 43        |
| 5 Implications for the Transition from PAYGO to Funded . . . . .                                                                            | 44        |

|    |                                                                                                                                       |    |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 6  | Dynamic Efficiency: Are Market Rates Larger than Growth Rates ( $r > g$ )? . . . . .                                                  | 45 |
| 7  | Are the Same $r$ and $g$ Variables Needed to Assess Dynamic Efficiency and to Compare Returns of PAYGO and Funded Pensions? . . . . . | 48 |
| 8  | Secular Stagnation . . . . .                                                                                                          | 49 |
| 9  | Diversification of Risks . . . . .                                                                                                    | 50 |
| 10 | Conclusion . . . . .                                                                                                                  | 50 |
|    | References . . . . .                                                                                                                  | 52 |

## **The Role of the Government in Creating or Enhancing the Access to Funded or Unfunded Pensions in the Modern Welfare State . . . . . 55**

Yves Stevens

|     |                                                                                                                                                           |    |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1   | Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                                    | 55 |
| 2   | Funded or Unfunded: The Aaron Condition and Governmental Choices . . . . .                                                                                | 56 |
| 3   | Underlying Pension Notions and the Significance of Historically Embedded Pension Concepts: A National Pension Landscape Is Defined in Many Ways . . . . . | 60 |
| 4   | Governments Determining the Coverage Rate of Certain Pension Types Dispose of Several Tools in Their Kit . . . . .                                        | 64 |
| 4.1 | Level of Organization . . . . .                                                                                                                           | 64 |
| 4.2 | Compulsion . . . . .                                                                                                                                      | 65 |
| 4.3 | Role of the Social Partners . . . . .                                                                                                                     | 68 |
| 4.4 | Taxation . . . . .                                                                                                                                        | 68 |
| 4.5 | Labour Market Policy . . . . .                                                                                                                            | 69 |
| 5   | Evolution: Background of a Silent Pension Pillar Implosion . . . . .                                                                                      | 69 |
| 6   | Conclusion: Reforms on Coverage Require Trust . . . . .                                                                                                   | 71 |
|     | References . . . . .                                                                                                                                      | 72 |

## **The Coverage of Occupational and Personal Pension Plans . . . . . 75**

Maria Teresa Medeiros Garcia

|   |                                                                                                           |    |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | Introduction . . . . .                                                                                    | 75 |
| 2 | Occupational Pension Plans: Defined-Benefit (DB) Versus Defined-Contribution (DC) Pension Plans . . . . . | 76 |
| 3 | Personal Pension Plans . . . . .                                                                          | 79 |
| 4 | Coverage of Funded and Private Pension Plans . . . . .                                                    | 80 |
| 5 | Conclusion . . . . .                                                                                      | 82 |
|   | References . . . . .                                                                                      | 84 |

## **Sustainability and Adequacy of Pension Systems Across the OECD: Shocks, Robustness and Policies . . . . . 87**

Falilou Fall

|     |                                                                                  |    |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1   | Introduction and Main Findings . . . . .                                         | 87 |
| 2   | Shocks to Pension Systems and Sustainability . . . . .                           | 89 |
| 2.1 | Population Ageing Remains the Main Challenge to Pension Sustainability . . . . . | 89 |

|     |                                                                                                               |     |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 2.2 | Longevity Risk and Defined-Benefit Schemes . . . . .                                                          | 93  |
| 2.3 | Investment Risks . . . . .                                                                                    | 95  |
| 3   | Policies Favouring the Sustainability of Pension Schemes . . . . .                                            | 96  |
| 3.1 | Actuarial Neutrality . . . . .                                                                                | 96  |
| 3.2 | Automatic Adjustment Mechanisms . . . . .                                                                     | 97  |
| 3.3 | Adjustment of Benefit Levels . . . . .                                                                        | 98  |
| 3.4 | Buffer and Reserve Funds . . . . .                                                                            | 99  |
| 3.5 | Prudential Regulation and Investment Strategies to Preserve<br>the Sustainability of Funded Schemes . . . . . | 100 |
| 3.6 | Protecting Pension Assets of Old Workers . . . . .                                                            | 101 |
| 4   | Policies Affecting the Adequacy of Pensions . . . . .                                                         | 102 |
| 4.1 | Replacement Rates and Poverty . . . . .                                                                       | 102 |
| 4.2 | Public-Private Mix and Extending Private Pension Coverage . . .                                               | 104 |
| 4.3 | Preventing Early Retirement and Exit from the Labour<br>Market . . . . .                                      | 105 |
| 5   | Concluding Remarks . . . . .                                                                                  | 106 |
|     | References . . . . .                                                                                          | 107 |

## **Part II The Capital Markets Union (CMU) and the Future of Pension Plans: Opportunities, Risks and Drawbacks**

### **The Capital Markets Union: Saving for Retirement and Investing for Growth . . . . . 111**

Ansgar Belke and Philipp Allroggen

|   |                                                                |     |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | What Is the Capital Markets Union About? . . . . .             | 111 |
| 2 | Retirement Saving and Long-Term Investment in Europe . . . . . | 113 |
| 3 | A Need for Action? . . . . .                                   | 118 |
| 4 | What Has Been Achieved and the Way Forward . . . . .           | 122 |
|   | References . . . . .                                           | 124 |

### **Sustainable Pensions for European Citizens: How to Close the Gap? . . . 127**

Gabriel Bernardino

|     |                                                 |     |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1   | Introduction: The Challenge . . . . .           | 127 |
| 1.1 | Demographic and Labour Market Changes . . . . . | 127 |
| 1.2 | Economic Environment . . . . .                  | 128 |
| 1.3 | Learnings from Behavioural Economics . . . . .  | 129 |
| 2   | Complementary Private Pensions . . . . .        | 131 |
| 2.1 | Flexible, Adaptive Solutions . . . . .          | 131 |
| 2.2 | Standardisation: Quality Features . . . . .     | 134 |
| 3   | Conclusion . . . . .                            | 141 |
|     | References . . . . .                            | 142 |

|                                                                                                                                                 |            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Welfare Gains from a Capital Market Union with Capital-Funded Pensions . . . . .</b>                                                         | <b>145</b> |
| Thomas Davoine and Susanne Forstner                                                                                                             |            |
| 1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                        | 145        |
| 2 Model . . . . .                                                                                                                               | 147        |
| 2.1 Demographics . . . . .                                                                                                                      | 147        |
| 2.2 Households . . . . .                                                                                                                        | 148        |
| 2.3 Production and Labor Market Matching . . . . .                                                                                              | 149        |
| 2.4 Government Budget and Asset Market Clearing . . . . .                                                                                       | 150        |
| 2.5 Calibration . . . . .                                                                                                                       | 151        |
| 3 Simulations . . . . .                                                                                                                         | 151        |
| 3.1 Description of the Simulation Scenarios . . . . .                                                                                           | 152        |
| 3.2 Simulation Results . . . . .                                                                                                                | 153        |
| 4 Summary and Concluding Remarks . . . . .                                                                                                      | 158        |
| References . . . . .                                                                                                                            | 159        |
| <b>SeLFIES for Portugal: An Innovative Pan European Retirement Solution . . . . .</b>                                                           | <b>161</b> |
| Robert C. Merton, Arun Muralidhar, and Rui Seybert Pinto Ferreira                                                                               |            |
| 1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                        | 162        |
| 2 Background on Portugal and the Challenge of Pension Security . . . . .                                                                        | 163        |
| 3 Portugal: Attempts to Create a DC System . . . . .                                                                                            | 164        |
| 4 Background on Portugal's Current Debt Issuance . . . . .                                                                                      | 166        |
| 5 SeLFIES as a Potential Solution . . . . .                                                                                                     | 168        |
| 6 The Innovative SeLFIES Design . . . . .                                                                                                       | 170        |
| 7 How SeLFIES Foster Self-Reliance and Work for Those not Financially Literate . . . . .                                                        | 171        |
| 8 Demand from Other Investors . . . . .                                                                                                         | 172        |
| 9 Advantages for Governments . . . . .                                                                                                          | 173        |
| 10 Additional Issues . . . . .                                                                                                                  | 174        |
| 11 Conclusion . . . . .                                                                                                                         | 175        |
| References . . . . .                                                                                                                            | 176        |
| <b>The Pan-European Pension Product and the Capital Markets Union: A Way to Enhance and Complete the Economic and Monetary Union? . . . . .</b> | <b>179</b> |
| Nuno Cunha Rodrigues                                                                                                                            |            |
| 1 The Pan-European Personal Pension Product (PEPP) . . . . .                                                                                    | 179        |
| 2 The Portability of the PEPP and the Tax Obstacles . . . . .                                                                                   | 182        |
| 3 The Capital Markets Union and the PEPP . . . . .                                                                                              | 185        |
| References . . . . .                                                                                                                            | 190        |

## **The Final PEPP or How to Kill an Important EU Commission**

### **Proposal . . . . . 193**

Karel Lannoo

- 1 The Need for a Long Term EU Savings Product . . . . . 193
- 2 The Final PEPP Text . . . . . 194
- 3 Conclusion and Implications for the CMU Agenda . . . . . 196

## **Deepening Financialization Within the EU: Consequences for Pension**

### **Regimes . . . . . 199**

José Castro Caldas

- 1 Introduction . . . . . 199
- 2 Financialization and its Key Features . . . . . 201
  - 2.1 Financialization as a Feature of Contemporary Capitalism . . . . . 201
  - 2.2 Financialization as an Analytical Device in Political Economy . . . . . 203
- 3 The Capital Markets Union: Turning a Problem into a Solution . . . . . 207
  - 3.1 The CMU as a Resurrection of Finance . . . . . 207
  - 3.2 This Time Is Different? . . . . . 210
- 4 Funding European Pensions . . . . . 211
  - 4.1 The History of PEPP in a Nutshell . . . . . 212
  - 4.2 Extending Funded Pensions in the EU: Puzzling . . . . . 213
- 5 Private Funded and Pay-as-you-go Public Regimes: Complementary or Substitutes? . . . . . 214
  - 5.1 Voice and Exit in the Pensions' Arena . . . . . 216
- 6 Conclusion . . . . . 218
- References . . . . . 220

## **Part III Pension Plans and the European Pillar of Social Rights: A New Scope for the EU Social Policy?**

### **Pensions at a Crossroad Between Social Rights and Financial**

### **Markets: Which Way to Be Chosen? . . . . . 225**

Nazaré da Costa Cabral

- 1 Introduction . . . . . 225
- 2 The Multi-Pillar Approach: Mining the Origins and Contextualizing the Current Situation . . . . . 227
  - 2.1 Bismarckian Models as Implicit Inheritors of Ancient Occupational Plans . . . . . 227
  - 2.2 The World Bank Report of 1994 . . . . . 228
  - 2.3 The Reforming Movement in Pension Systems from 1990s Onwards . . . . . 229
- 3 Two Plausible Alternatives for Pension Plan Evolution: The Individual Insurance Model Versus the Universal Tax Financed Model . . . . . 238
  - 3.1 Two Different Alternatives, but the Same Drivers . . . . . 238
  - 3.2 First Alternative: The Individual Insurance Model and the Appeal of the Financial Markets . . . . . 241
  - 3.3 Second Alternative: The Universal Tax Financed Model . . . . . 245

|     |                                                                                                                            |     |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4   | The Redesign of the Welfare of State and the Role of the European Union: Which Path to Be Chosen? . . . . .                | 249 |
| 5   | Concluding Remark . . . . .                                                                                                | 251 |
|     | References . . . . .                                                                                                       | 251 |
|     | <b>From Paris to Lisbon: The Ever-Changing European Social Policy Landscape</b> . . . . .                                  | 255 |
|     | Pedro Adão e Silva and Patrícia Cadeiras                                                                                   |     |
| 1   | European Social Model(s) . . . . .                                                                                         | 255 |
| 2   | From Paris to Rome . . . . .                                                                                               | 257 |
| 3   | The Seventies and the First Social Action Program . . . . .                                                                | 258 |
| 4   | The Single European Act and the White Paper . . . . .                                                                      | 260 |
| 5   | From Amsterdam to Lisbon . . . . .                                                                                         | 266 |
| 6   | From Lisbon Back to Lisbon . . . . .                                                                                       | 267 |
| 7   | Different Forms of Governance . . . . .                                                                                    | 269 |
| 8   | Different Policy Instruments, Different Domestic Impacts . . . . .                                                         | 274 |
| 9   | Concluding Remarks . . . . .                                                                                               | 276 |
|     | References . . . . .                                                                                                       | 279 |
|     | <b>Pension Reforms After the Crisis: Bringing Adequacy Back in the Domestic and EU Policy Equation?</b> . . . . .          | 283 |
|     | Slavina Spasova, Christos Louvaris Fasois, and Bart Vanhercke                                                              |     |
| 1   | Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                     | 283 |
| 2   | National Old-Age Pension Reforms Since the Great Recession: A Return to Adequacy or Damage Control? . . . . .              | 285 |
| 2.1 | Prolonging Working Lives: Confirmation of the Long-Term Trend Towards Maintaining the Sustainability of Pensions . . . . . | 287 |
| 2.2 | Adequacy Safeguards as the Main Dimension of Adequacy Policy Reforms . . . . .                                             | 292 |
| 2.3 | Income Maintenance: The Neglected Dimension of Pension Adequacy Reforms? . . . . .                                         | 293 |
| 3   | The Evolution of the EU Discourse on Pensions During the Period 2010–2019 . . . . .                                        | 296 |
| 3.1 | The Green and White Papers on Pensions . . . . .                                                                           | 296 |
| 3.2 | The Creation of the European Semester . . . . .                                                                            | 297 |
| 3.3 | The Barroso II Commission . . . . .                                                                                        | 298 |
| 3.4 | The Juncker Commission . . . . .                                                                                           | 301 |
| 3.5 | The European Pillar of Social Rights . . . . .                                                                             | 303 |
| 4   | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                                      | 305 |
|     | References . . . . .                                                                                                       | 306 |

|                                                                                                                                                                |            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>How to Best Address Pension Adequacy and Financial Sustainability in the Context of Population Ageing: The Labour Market as a Key Determinant . . . . .</b> | <b>311</b> |
| Josef Wöss and Erik Türk                                                                                                                                       |            |
| 1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                                       | 311        |
| 2 Demographic Change . . . . .                                                                                                                                 | 312        |
| 3 Pension Reforms . . . . .                                                                                                                                    | 313        |
| 4 Economic Versus Demographic Dependency . . . . .                                                                                                             | 315        |
| 5 Impact of Labor Markets on the Economic Dependency Ratio:<br>Case Study on Germany . . . . .                                                                 | 318        |
| 6 Concluding Remarks . . . . .                                                                                                                                 | 321        |
| References . . . . .                                                                                                                                           | 321        |
| <b>Pensions in the Fluid EU Society: Challenges for (Migrant) Workers . . .</b>                                                                                | <b>325</b> |
| Ivana Vukorepa, Yves Jorens, and Grega Strban                                                                                                                  |            |
| 1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                                       | 325        |
| 2 Social Risk of Old-Age, Pension Systems and Non-standard Forms<br>of Employment . . . . .                                                                    | 328        |
| 2.1 Social Risk of Old-Age . . . . .                                                                                                                           | 328        |
| 2.2 Pension Systems . . . . .                                                                                                                                  | 329        |
| 2.3 Non-standard Forms of Employment . . . . .                                                                                                                 | 331        |
| 3 EU Legislation on Pensions and its Appropriateness for Free Movement<br>of Non-standard Migrant Workers and Self-Employed . . . . .                          | 333        |
| 3.1 Article 45 TFEU . . . . .                                                                                                                                  | 334        |
| 3.2 Relevant EU <i>acquis</i> and Challenges in the Field of Social<br>Security Coordination . . . . .                                                         | 335        |
| 3.3 Relevant EU Acquis and Challenges Regarding Supplementary<br>(Occupational) Pensions . . . . .                                                             | 339        |
| 4 Combating Fraud and Error . . . . .                                                                                                                          | 343        |
| 5 Conclusion . . . . .                                                                                                                                         | 345        |
| References . . . . .                                                                                                                                           | 346        |

## About the Editors

**Nazaré da Costa Cabral** Nazaré da Costa Cabral holds a license degree (1994), a master's (1998), and a PhD (2007) in law, from Lisbon School of Law (Faculdade de Direito, Universidade de Lisboa), and she holds a license degree in economics (2015), from Nova SBE—School of Business & Economics (Universidade Nova de Lisboa).

Nazaré is Associate Professor in tenure, in Lisbon School of Law.

She also lectures in other Universities, either in Portugal or abroad.

Nazaré is principal researcher of the Center for European, Economic, Fiscal and Tax Law Research (CIDEFF) of Lisbon School of Law (Group IV on 'Crises, Public Policies, Fiscal Policy and the Euro'). She is also a member of the Board of 'Instituto de Direito Económico, Financeiro e Fiscal' (IDEFF), of the same School, in which she also lectures. Nazaré is vice-president of the journal *Revista de Finanças Públicas e Direito Fiscal* (Public Finances and Tax Law Journal), published by IDEFF and Almedina Editors. She is also a member of the Executive and Editorial Board of the journal *Concorrência & Regulação* (Competition & Regulation), published by the Portuguese Competition Authority, IDEFF and Almedina Editors, and a member of the Editorial Board of the journal *Economia & Segurança Social* (Economics & Social Security), published by Diário de Bordo Editores.

Nazaré is author of several books, articles, and working papers, and her research areas are mainly on public finances, economic and monetary union, and social security.

### *Professional experience:*

2019—Appointed by the Portuguese Government as Chair of the Senior Board of the Portuguese Public Finance Council

2018—Appointed as a member of High-Level Group of Experts on Pensions, by the Director-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion and the Director-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union (under Commission decision C(2017)8523 of 18.12.2017 setting up a high-level group of experts on pensions)

2018—National expert at the EU project MoveS (‘Network of independent experts in the fields of free movement of workers (FMW) and social security coordination’).

2015–2017—National expert at the EU project FreSsco (‘Network of Experts on Intra-EU Mobility—Free Movement of Workers and Social Security Coordination’).

2015–2016—National expert at the EU project, developed by a scientific consortium led by CEPS (Centre for European Policy Studies) to the European Commission, and entitled ‘National feasibility assessment of the different European unemployment benefit scheme options’.

2014—Appointed by the Ministry of State and Finance to integrate the Working Group charged with the reform of the Portuguese ‘Budget Framework Law’.

1997–2002 and 2005–2007—Legal adviser in the Labor and Social Security Minister and Secretary of State Offices.

**Nuno Cunha Rodrigues** Bachelor (1995), Master in Laws (2003), and PhD (2012) in Law by the Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon.

Associate Professor of the Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon (FDL)

Non-executive member of the Board of Directors of Caixa Geral de Depósitos. Lawyer.

Vice-President of the European Institute of the FDL.

Investigator and Deputy Director of CIDEEFF (Center for Research in European Law, Economic, Financial and Fiscal)

Editor and member of the Advisory Board of the *Journal of Competition and Regulation*

Member of the Editorial Committee of the *Journal of Public Finance and Tax Law*

Member of the Scientific Council of the Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon

Coordinator of the Jean Monnet (Erasmus +) module on Public Procurement, conferred by the European Commission (2015–2018)

Member of the Advisory Board of the *Journal of Regulation and Competition*

Member Editorial Committee of the *Journal of Public Finance and Tax Law*

Member of the Procurement Law Network

Head of a Jean Monnet Chair, awarded by the European Commission (since 2018)

Author of several books, articles and papers in the field of EU Law; Competition Law; Public Procurement; Economic Regulation and Public Finance.

# List of Figures

## Old-Age Pension Systems: Characterization and Comparability

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |    |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Fig. 1 | Taxonomy of old-age pension system. Source: Based on Lindbeck and Persson (2003) proposal .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 13 |
| Fig. 2 | Balance in a PAYGO system. Source: Coelho (2013) .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 15 |
| Fig. 3 | Balanced relationship between number of employees and pensioners. Source: Coelho (2013) .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 16 |
| Fig. 4 | Balance conditions and intertemporal evolution of the ratio between the number of employees and pensioners. Source: Coelho (2013). Note: This graph represents the state of balance of the System and the estimated intertemporal evolution between the number of employees and pensioners in a developed country .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 18 |
| Fig. 5 | Evolution of financial account with different discount rates (Working period). Source: Own calculation. Note: Assuming an annual average gross wage (W) of €25,000, a contributive rate (k) of 20%, a working period of 15 years and an discount rate of 5%, the financial account value at retirement age will be €107,893. Once the contributions are allocated to an individual financial account for the pensioner and capitalized over time through a market discount rate, the amount allocated to the payment of pension benefits at retirement age will be €107,893. For the same contributive rate and wage, a change in the discount rate has a significant impact on the evolution on the financial account value. With a discount rate below (above) 5% the financial account value, at the end of the 15th year, it will be below (above) €107,893 ..... | 19 |
| Fig. 6 | Evolution of financial account for different values of pension benefits. Source: Own calculation. Note: Assuming a financial account value at retirement age equal to €107,893, a discount rate equal to 5% in the retirement period and a longevity of the pensioner after retirement of 15 years, the system's ability to pay pensions fully depends on the value of the pension benefits. With annual pension benefits equal to (or below) €10,395 the system is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |    |

|         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |    |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
|         | sustainable. On the other hand, with annual pension benefits above €10,395 the system is unsustainable (with annual pension benefits of €12,500 the system collapses in the 12th year) .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 20 |
| Fig. 7  | Wage and pension benefits in a non-actuarial system. Source: Own calculation. Note: This figure, shows two examples of a non-actuarial old-age pension system. In some systems the pension benefits are calculated as a percentage (in some cases 100%) of the last wage (left-hand figure). In other systems the value of pension benefits is calculated as a percentage of average wage (adjusted for the inflation rate) of the last n years (right-hand figure) .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 21 |
| Fig. 8  | Evolution of the financial account with changes in life expectancy. Source: Own calculation. Note: Assuming that the financial account value on retirement date is €107,893, the life expectancy is 15 years and the discount rate is 5%, the value of pension benefits (actuarial) will be €10,395 (annuity) and the pool of assets (financial account value) will be consumed by the end of the 15th year. For the same annuity, a change in life expectancy has a significant impact on the balance of old-age pension systems. If the longevity of pensioners is higher (lower) than 15 years, the old-age pension system will be in deficit (surplus) .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 23 |
| Fig. 9  | Evolution of the financial account with changes in discount rate. Source: Own calculation. Note: Assuming that, on retirement date, the financial account value is €107,893; life expectancy is 15 years; and the discount rate is 5%, the value of pension benefits (actuarial) will be €10,395 (annuity) and the pool of assets (financial account value) will be consumed by the end of the 15th year. For the same annuity, a change in the discount rate has a significant impact on the evolution of the financial account value. With a discount rate below (above) 5%, the financial account value, at the end of the 15th year, will be below (above) zero, which means that the old-age pension system will be in deficit (surplus) .....                                                                                                                                                     | 24 |
| Fig. 10 | Evolution of the financial account and pension benefits (DC System). Source: Own calculation. Note: With a fixed contribution value, the pension benefits must be (endogenously) adjusted from time to time, in response to changes in interest rate and longevity, to ensure that the pension system remains financially viable. With an annual contribution of €5000 and a discount rate of 5%, the financial account value in the 15th year will be €107,893. For a longevity of 15 years and a discount rate of 2.5% (during the retirement period), the annual pension benefits will be €8714 (Hypotheses I). With an annual contribution of €5000 and a discount rate of 5% (1%) during the first 7 years (during the second 8-year period), the financial account value in the 15th year will be €85,512. In this situation, for a longevity of 15 years and a discount rate of 2.5% (during the |    |

|         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |    |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
|         | retirement period), the annual pension benefits will decrease to €6907 (Hypotheses II) .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 25 |
| Fig. 11 | Evolution of the financial account and contributions (DB System). Source: Own calculation. Note: With a defined benefit, the contribution to the system must be (endogenously) adjusted from time to time to ensure that the pension system remains financially viable. Consider (left-hand graph) that the required financial account value to generate annual pension benefits of €8714 is equal to €107,893 (this value was obtained with an annual contribution of €5000, assuming an interest rate of 5% for 15 years—Hypotheses I). With a decrease in the discount rate, <i>ceteris paribus</i> , the financial account value at the end of the period will decrease, forcing a reduction in the pension benefits. However, since we have a defined benefit, the endogenous variable is the contribution to the system. To maintain the pension benefit (right-hand graph), in a context of a reduction in the discount rate from the 8th to the 15th year from 5% to 1%, the annual contribution to the system must increase from €5000 to €7701 in the same period (Hypotheses II) ..... | 27 |

### What's New in the Debate About Pay-as-you-go Versus Funded Pensions?

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |    |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Fig. 1 | General government gross financial liabilities as a percentage of GDP. Source: Economic Outlook No 104—November 2018 .....                                                                                                                             | 42 |
| Fig. 2 | Projected average annual change in the working-age population, 2015–2045. Projected average annual change in the population aged 20–64 in OECD countries between 2015 and 2045. Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects—2017 Revision ..... | 48 |

### The Coverage of Occupational and Personal Pension Plans

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fig. 1 | Coverage of funded and private pension plans, latest year available (As a percentage of the working age population). Source: OECD (2018); OECD Global Pension Statistics. Notes: Data refer to 2017, unless stated otherwise. (1) The coverage is close to 100%. (2) Data refer to 2016. (3) The coverage rate includes foreign workers in Iceland. (4) This result is the ratio of ATP members below the retirement age and paying contributions in 2017 over the population aged 15–64. (5) Data refer to new pension funds only. A saver can be an active member in more than one pension fund. (6) Source: ABS Survey of Income and Housing 2015–2016. (7) Source: Federal Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs (Survey on Pension Provision 2015). The coverage rate refers to 2015 and is expressed with respect to employees aged 25–64 subject to social insurance contributions. (8) Data refer to the membership of the second pillar only. (9) Source: KiwiSaver website. Data include individuals below 18. (10) Data refer to members of open pension funds only. (11) Source: Finance |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Norway. The coverage rate of mandatory plans is based on the number of active members in private group pensions and municipal group pensions. It is compulsory for government employees (who represent a significant part of the Norwegian working population) to be members of the Norwegian Public Service Pension Fund, financed by the Norwegian State Budget. This Fund is out of the scope of this report and members of this Fund are therefore not taken into account in this chart. (12) The coverage of pension plans in the Czech Republic is calculated over the population below 65 as children may be members of plans opened by their parents. (13) Source: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (14) Chap. 4 of the OECD Pension Outlook 2012. (15) Source: Quarterly National Household Survey, Module on Pensions Q4 2015. The coverage rate represents the proportion of workers aged between 20 and 69 with a pension plan. (16) Data come from DWP's Family Resources Survey 2016/2017. (17) Source: Spanish Survey of Household Finances (EFF) 2014 by Bank of Spain. The result shows the percentage of households with a pension scheme (or a unit-linked or mixed life insurance product). (18) Source: Inquérito à Situação Financeira das Famílias (ISFF) (2013 edition). The value refers to the proportion of households having a voluntary pension plan. (19) Data refer to 2016. (20) The coverage rate for Turkey shows the number of individuals who are members of a private pension plan and/or an auto-enrolment plan among the working age population. Individuals who are members of several plans are only counted once. (21) Data refer to the number of members of mandatory supplementary pension schemes only. (22) Data refer to the number of members of MPF schemes and other retirement schemes. The coverage rate would be 85% if the employed population was used as the denominator instead of the working age population. (23) Data refer to all the affiliates of the private pension system. Only half of the affiliates were contributing in 2017. (24) Data refer to the active participants of open pension funds only. (25) Data refer to the number of members of contributory pension schemes .... 81

## **Sustainability and Adequacy of Pension Systems Across the OECD: Shocks, Robustness and Policies**

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |    |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Fig. 1 | Ageing remains the main challenge. <i>Source:</i> OECD Health Statistics; OECD (2017), Pensions at a Glance 2017. <i>Note:</i> The demographic old-age dependency ratio is defined as the number of individuals aged 65 and over per 100 people of working age defined as those aged between 20 and 64 ..... | 90 |
| Fig. 2 | Projections of public expenditure on pensions in 2050. <i>Source:</i> OECD (2017), Pensions at a glance 2017; European Commission (2009), 2009 Ageing Report .....                                                                                                                                           | 95 |

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |     |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 3 | Net real investment returns of pension funds in selected countries, 2008 and 2016. <i>Source:</i> OECD Global Pension Statistics; OECD Pension Markets in Focus 2013 and Pensions at a Glance, 2017 ...                                                                                                                                                                                  | 95  |
| Fig. 4 | Gross pension replacement rate for an average earner. <i>Source:</i> OECD (2017), OECD Pensions at a glance 2017 .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 103 |
| Fig. 5 | Poverty among older people. <i>Source:</i> OECD (2013a, b, c), Pensions at a Glance 2013: OECD and G20 Indicators; OECD (2013a, b, c), “Income Distribution”, OECD Social and Welfare Statistics (database) .....                                                                                                                                                                        | 104 |
| Fig. 6 | Average effective age of labour-market exit and normal pensionable age in 2016. <i>Source:</i> OECD Global Pension Statistics; OECD Pension Markets in Focus 2013 and Pensions at a Glance, 2017. <i>Note:</i> Effective retirement age shown is for 5 year period 2011–2016. Pensionable age is shown for individuals retiring in 2016 and assuming labour market entry at age 20 ..... | 106 |

### **The Capital Markets Union: Saving for Retirement and Investing for Growth**

|        |                                                                                                                                                                            |     |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 1 | Population size projection in Europe according to Eurostat. <i>Source:</i> Eurostat .....                                                                                  | 113 |
| Fig. 2 | Changes in the age structure in Europe. <i>Source:</i> United Nations—Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2017) .....                          | 114 |
| Fig. 3 | Pension funds, currency and deposits, shares and equity, % of total financial assets of households. <i>Source:</i> OECD, household financial assets (indicator) .....      | 115 |
| Fig. 4 | Total number of share classes available for sale to retail investors in 2017. <i>Source:</i> European Commission (2018a, b) based on the Morningstar database (2017) ..... | 116 |
| Fig. 5 | Gross fixed-capital formation within the EU. <i>Source:</i> Eurostat .....                                                                                                 | 118 |
| Fig. 6 | Gross fixed-capital formation, as % share of total amount. <i>Source:</i> OECD, Investment by sector (indicator) .....                                                     | 119 |
| Fig. 7 | Gross fixed-capital formation as percentage of GDP in the EU. <i>Source:</i> World Bank national account data and OECD national accounts data files .....                  | 120 |

### **SeLFIES for Portugal: An Innovative Pan European Retirement Solution**

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |     |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 1 | Average maturity and modified duration of Portugal’s direct debt (Source: IGCP 2019). <a href="https://www.igcp.pt/fotos/editor2/2019/Boletim_Mensal/02_BM_fev.pdf">https://www.igcp.pt/fotos/editor2/2019/Boletim_Mensal/02_BM_fev.pdf</a> ..... | 168 |
| Fig. 2 | Measuring the risk of T-Bills from an absolute and relative volatility perspective (Source: Merton 2012a, 2013, 2014) .....                                                                                                                       | 169 |
| Fig. 3 | Example of real and nominal cash flow from SeLFIES (Source: Authors 2019) .....                                                                                                                                                                   | 171 |

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |     |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 4 | State direct debt cost (Source: IGCP 2019). <a href="https://www.igcp.pt/fotos/editor2/2019/Boletim_Mensal/02_BM_fev.pdf">https://www.igcp.pt/fotos/editor2/2019/Boletim_Mensal/02_BM_fev.pdf</a> ..... | 174 |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

### **Pensions at a Crossroad Between Social Rights and Financial Markets: Which Way to Be Chosen?**

|        |                                                                                           |     |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 1 | A sequenced typology of forms of employment. Source: Author's own conception (2019) ..... | 239 |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

### **From Paris to Lisbon: The Ever-Changing European Social Policy Landscape**

|        |                                                                         |     |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 1 | Policy convergence capacity (adapted from Citi and Rhodes (2006)) ..... | 274 |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

### **Pension Reforms After the Crisis: Bringing Adequacy Back in the Domestic and EU Policy Equation?**

|        |                                                                                                                                      |     |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 1 | Changes in expenditure on old-age pensions as a % of GDP, 2005–2016 (percentage point change). Source: Spasova and Ward (2019) ..... | 286 |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

### **How to Best Address Pension Adequacy and Financial Sustainability in the Context of Population Ageing: The Labour Market as a Key Determinant**

|        |                                                               |     |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 1 | Evolution of demographic and economic dependency ratios ..... | 321 |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

# List of Tables

## Old-Age Pension Systems: Characterization and Comparability

|         |                                                                                                |    |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 1 | Balanced relationship between the number of employees and pensioners (numerical example) ..... | 17 |
| Table 2 | Alternative old-age pension system (advantages and disadvantages) .....                        | 32 |

## What's New in the Debate About Pay-as-you-go Versus Funded Pensions?

|         |                                                                                                    |    |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 1 | 10-year government bond rates and nominal GDP growth, selected countries, average by periods ..... | 47 |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|

## Sustainability and Adequacy of Pension Systems Across the OECD: Shocks, Robustness and Policies

|         |                                                                                        |    |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 1 | Vulnerability of PAYG schemes to shocks. Difference with respect to the baseline ..... | 94 |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|

## The Capital Markets Union: Saving for Retirement and Investing for Growth

|         |                                                                      |     |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 1 | Percentage shares households save from their disposable income       | 114 |
| Table 2 | Results of Investor Pulse Survey—European savers and investors ..... | 115 |
| Table 3 | Definitions of long-term investment .....                            | 117 |

## Welfare Gains from a Capital Market Union with Capital-Funded Pensions

|         |                                |     |
|---------|--------------------------------|-----|
| Table 1 | Macroeconomic variables .....  | 153 |
| Table 2 | Per capita variables .....     | 154 |
| Table 3 | Pension system variables ..... | 155 |

|         |                                         |     |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 4 | Labor market variables .....            | 156 |
| Table 5 | Welfare gains, newborn households ..... | 157 |

**Pension Reforms After the Crisis: Bringing Adequacy Back  
in the Domestic and EU Policy Equation?**

|         |                                                                   |     |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 1 | Reforms affecting pensions in the Member States (2014–2018) . . . | 288 |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

**How to Best Address Pension Adequacy and Financial Sustainability  
in the Context of Population Ageing: The Labour Market as a Key  
Determinant**

|         |                                                                         |     |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 1 | Eurostat’s demographic (baseline) projection 2016–2070<br>(EU-27) ..... | 312 |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|